him has amed in the current of the first from the same of the same of

17 SUTHERLAND CRESCENT
DARLING POINT

Seen he Essing in Lair.

a win was promot he is a postery

for which is the residence in the constitution of the formal is a formal to be a formal to b

abois musey, his which dan avera to braying to too muse, it is owning of wife a sound of the contract of their assurances of their too little.

DABLING POINT

A STATE AND STATES

much whis.

or complex it we my which is go in it was it is seen und it was a directly in the seen was the seen with the seen which it was a seen of the seen which is and which the seen which is a wind it was a wind to be seen a wind it was a wind to be seen a wind it was a wind to be seen a wind it was a wind to be seen a wind it was a wind to be seen a wind to b

orie of the Sened Cadell of times of the Sened Cadell of the Sened Cadello Cad

we will be see regards.

Rain, in basis, Buintari

29th January, 1936.

Brian Lewis, Esq.,
17 Sutherland Crescent,
DARLING POINT.
Sydney. N.S.W.

Dear Mr. Brian Lewis,

Reference is made to your letter of 16th January, which I delayed replying to until a further meeting of our Syndicate had taken place.

At a meeting this afternoon I read your letter to the Members, who asked me to thank you very much indeed for the kind way you have written and to say how much they appreciate the interest you are taking in the matter. They noted that just as soon as you receive the proposals from Hawker-Siddeley you will submit these for our consideration, and also that you expect to receive a cable shortly, saying when these proposals should arrive in Australia, and that you expect they will reach here by the end of next month. Members of our Syndicate will appreciate receiving these proposals when they arrive.

Further, I am asked to thank you very much for your offer of assistance, and when the moment arrives your suggestion will be taken into very serious consideration. In the meantime if you would care to give us any further information about your former activities we should very much like to have it. I think there is no necessity for you to some to Melbourne again just now.

Yours faithfully,

Managing Director.

Sutherland Crescent, Darling Point, sydney. I6th January, 1936. Essington Lewis Esq., Melbourne.

Dear Mr. Essington Lewis, I feel I must write to thank you for your kindness to me in Melbourne last week.

It was with diffidence that I approached you, but I felt my investigations had reached a stage when no further progress could be made until I had the opportunity of discussing the matter with you.

I deeply appreciate all the information you gave me, which enabled me to write very fully by this week's Air-Mail. I have asked Hawker-Siddeley that the most comprehensive proposals should be sent out, covering Military and Civil Aircraft, Engines and Technical advice and assistance.

The proposals should reach here by the end of next month, and I sincerely trust no definite decisions will be reached regarding co-operation until they are in your hands. I shall receive a cable when they have been posted, and will advise you in due course when they may be expected.

It may interest you to know that I undertook these investigations entirely on my own initiative about six months ago. I have often visited Japan and know that all their commercial activities have a political significance. When I came to live here last year, I was conscious of their penetration and felt that the only sure means of restricting them to purely business activities, would be through

It appeared that an Aircraft factory was an effective Air Arm. more than a National necessity, but also an Empire one, because in a time of emergency the requirements of New Zealand, the Pacific Colonies and perhaps Singapore and Hong Kong would have to be supplied from here.

I discussed the proposal with my friends Hawker-Siddeley, who readily responded and said They are unquestionably the leading Group in England as they cover the entire field of Military and Civil Aircraft and Engines, and are the only concern capable of supplying Australia's entire requirements. authorised me to investigate the prospects and discuss matters on their behalf, but they warned me that they were very definitely informed by the Australian Authorities in London not to take any action on their own accord to manufacture Aircraft out here.

In discussing the prospects of a factory here we had in mind the formation of a national company financed by Australian capital and having the support of the Defence Department, which by arrangement would work in closest co-operation with Hawker- Siddeley to give all the technical and administrative assistance required, and make available immediately all the latest information and developments as they take

I must thank you for agreeing that Hawker-Siddeley should submit proposals for your consideration and for providing this opportunity for them to co-operate with the Company you have just formed.

The following is an extract from a letter received from them this week :-

"The fullest co-operation would be "available and technicians and practical "staff to organise and instruct a factory "in its initial stages. "to assist in deciding the size, situation "and layout of a factory; the types "recommended and the amount of components

Every form of advice and assistance is available to your Company , if required.

I was very glad to learn from you that British interests would always predominate and maintain control of the Company. differences of opinion there may be regarding Civil Aircraft, British Military Aircraft are definitely superior in every respect to the American product, and as I understood you have in mind the primary requirements of Defence, the leading British

ones holy

PRESENT:

Mr. Brian Lewis - British Aircraft Group.

Mr. Essington Lewis)

B.H.P. Co. Ltd.

Mr. L. Bradford

SUBJECT:

AVIATION:

Mr. Brian Lewis represents a leading British Aircraft Group namely: - Messrs, Hawkers, Armstrong, Avro. Gloster, and Siddeleys. There are other makers in England viz: - Messrs. Bristol, Vickers, Shorts, De Haviland, Fairey, Westland Aviation Company, Petters and several other smaller companies.

The British Aircraft Group have four factories for making zeroplanes and one for the manufacture of engines. They make the Siddeley motor in 11 different sizes ranging from 100 H.P. to 900 H.P.

Mr. Brian Lewis has heard (probably from the Defence Department) of the possibility of Australian interests going into the manufacture of aircraft, and he called to see the Managing Director with a view to co-operating with the Government and/or private financial interests in establishing an aircraft factory in Australia.

Me is very emphatic that an aircraft factory by itself would be a commercial proposition especially with say, 50/55 machines a year from the Government. He thought that a factory to make engines, unless it were on a cost plus a percentage basis, would not probably be satisfactory commercially. He is quite sure that most of the material can be obtained in Australia today and in the course of time that the whole of it can be done here. In other words, the complete aircraft and engine can be made in Australia from Australian materials.

Wednesday morning, Sth January, to see if we are interested in his submissions in eny way.

P.S. He told the Managing Director confidentially that Mr. Denham (an American) a representative of Boeing Aircraft Corporation had just arrived in Australia.