

Ist, December 1961

Reference P 242 JLH/SG

*FR 8/13*

Mngr.....	Eng. Supt.....
Sec.....	A/F. Mngr.....
Asst. Sec.....	Ca. Eng. A/D.....
Ch. Acct. 11 DEC 1961	Pars. Supt.....
.....	Supply Sales
Stores.....	.....
Ansd.....	Init.....

ACTION COPY SENT TO ENGINE SUPT

MISSION AUSTRALIENNE  
 S.N.E.C.M.A.  
 70, bld Kellermann  
PARIS 13e  
 France

COMMONWEALTH AIRCRAFT CORPORATION PTY. LTD.  
 BOX 779 H P.O.  
 Elizabeth Street  
MELBOURNE  
 Australia

CIRCULATION / COPIES 12/12/61	
E.F. MNGR ✓	FCT. ADM. SUPT.
DSGN. ENGR ✓	MC. SHOP. SUPT.
DEV. ENGR	PRODUCN. SUPT.
SERV. ENGR	ASSM. Y. SUPT.
QUAL. ENGR	FOUNDRY. SUPT.
PRD. ENGR ✓	METL. SUPT.
MATL. ENGR	PROCESS SUPT.
CH. TL. DSGR ✓	TOOL. PROD. SUPT.
CH. INSP. E. F.	TOOL. ROOM. SUPT.
A/F MANAGER	SUPT. INSPECTION

Attention : the MANAGER

Subject : Parts 50I.204.003, 50I.204.004 and 50I.200.006.

Dear Sir,

We acknowledge receipt of your letter reference M 130, requesting clarification of the discrepancy between the operation sheets and finished part drawings with regard to the thread undercut on the subject drawings.

This matter was discussed with SNECMA who point out that the subject drawings were prepared in line with similar standard parts 676-200-000, which quote 60°.

As the SNECMA specification DT 10-06 quotes 45° as a standard angle for undercuts, the operation sheets specify 45° so that existing standard tools can be used.

It was agreed that the principle was wrong, but it has probably been overlooked because of its minor nature and the difficulty of discovering the discrepancy during inspection as a finished part.

The principle of the differences between a finished part drawing and operation sheets was discussed and SNECMA are very definite that in all cases the dimensions quoted on the finished part drawings are the sizes to be worked to and SNECMA request that any further discrepancies be brought to their notice for clarification.

Yours faithfully,

*C. Bellward*  
C. BELLWARD.

JLH/SG

*[Handwritten signature]*