## Delinquency Camberwell as a Reason

SIR,—HAVING CEIVED a leaflet outlining certain points to consider why a "Yes" vote is vital in the Camberwell referendum, I am appal-led at the weakness of the case presented.

The first point mentioned is that "vandalism and delinquency are at their worst on Sundays," and the third point is that "parents' responsibilities would be made easier by the provision of Sunday sport."

How many Christian parents living in the city of Camberwell desire to shirk their responsibility of bringing the right influence to bear upon their children? None to my knowledge. The introduction of tempting, competitive, non-commercialised tion of tempting, competi-tive, non-commercialised, Sunday sport, however, could make parental con-trol more discontinuous. trol more difficult,

## Face Facts

Let us face the facts, the issue so far has only been raised by tennis-club leaders, with whom I have no personal axe to grind, but if the players require more time for tennis why not arrange for all courts to be electrically lit so that they can play at night as well as during the week. This would involve some expense, but surely it would not be an insuperable difficulty to enthusiasts of the game to raise some funds towards the cost. Let us face the facts,

My objection to "council-approved non-commercial-ised Sunday sport" is one of principle. If tennis-club members are granted the use of council facilities on Sunday, what right has the council to refuse the use of reserves for football, cricket and every other sport?

A leaflet issued by the

A leaflet issued by the Anti-Delinquency Council gives a telephone number. No office bearers or addresses are shown. After repeated telephone calls to the number only the vaguest information was forth-coming. coming.

If this council is genuine in its attempts to counter delinquency, why hide its light under a bushel? I may be entirely wrong, but I have the feeling the name is but a cloak to hoodwink ratepayers in casting a "Yes" vote in order to gratify the selfish wishes of a minority of sport lovers.

F.P. (North Balwyn).

## Referendum

SIR,-WOULD YOU AL-LOW me to express my hope that Mr. Crutch ("The Age, 26/5) speaks for himself alone and not for the bulk of reasonable fellow-Catholics when discussing the discussing Camberwell referendum on Sunday sport.

It is no part of the Catho-lic belief to make a point of abusing opponents and tra-ducing their motives. Indeed, I trust that the majority of Catholics would agree with me in re-garding these tactics as flagrantly un-Christian.

KENNETH HINCE (East Hawthorn).

## Opening Door to All Sport

SIR,-I DON'T THINK the granting of tennis facilities on Sundays by Camberwell council will necessarily produce a Sunday "bedlam" as has been suggested by one correspondent, but the granting of such facilities must surely be the forerunner to other forms of sport as well as tennis.

If permission is granted for tennis, why exclude foot ball, baseball, soccer hockey, running, jumping— in fact, any kind of spor that attracts crowds of spec tators who certainly de create a noise?

Once a precedent is mad in the case of tennis, the the door is open for othe sports too. That is only fair

Do the people of Camber well want their district t be available to sport gene rally on Sundays?

Let some residents call u "narrow-minded" if the wish. A great many prefe a quiet and peaceful Sunda; with a little reverence for one day of rest in the wee for all.

Must we throw every valu overboard? Cannot we kee just a few-reverence for th Sunday as one-just to sho that every day of the wee is not the same and that is good to pause for moment once a week

DIANE L. WATERS (Su